Using the H&P 360 to Identify Social Determinants of Health Integral to Chronic Disease Management

Rupi Hayer, MPH ¹, Valerie Terry, PhD ¹, Ebony Whisenant MD^{1,2}

American Medical Association Accelerating Change in Medical Education Chronic Disease Prevention and Management Interest Group

American Medical Association ¹, AT Still University School of Osteopathic Medicine in Arizona ²

Introduction

- The structure of the History & Physical (H&P) was developed generations ago.
- In the 21st century, health is critically influenced by the interaction of biomedical conditions and non-biomedical factors.¹
- Biopsychosocial data crucial to effective chronic disease prevention and management are not routinely collected in the traditional H&P.
- To address this gap, the American Medical Association's Chronic Disease Prevention and Management (CDPM) interest group:
 - Developed the H&P 360 tool;
 - Tested it in a multi-site study; and,
 - Is currently testing it in clinical settings within UME.
- The H&P 360 promotes the exploration of patient goals and values and encourages the use of social resources and interprofessional care in the management plan.

This poster outlines the above in more detail and is designed to raise awareness and solicit feedback from future users.

Development Process Oct. 2020 Jan. 2019 Aug. 2018 May 2018 May 2017 First draft Pilot Pilot Multi-site 4 schools of H&P randomized awarded testing of testing 360 data led to control trial first draft grants to developed revisions adopt the clerkship standardized tool within addition of the UME University settings patients instruction across 4 setting Michigan guide on medical how to use schools the tool

Snapshot of the H&P 360

Patient
perception of
health, goals, and
values

Psychosocial challenges and concerns

Behavioral health

Social support & relationships


Environmental or Physical resources

Functional status

Results from Multi-Site Study

- 3rd and 4th year medical students (n = 159) at 4 schools participated in an Objective Structured Clinical Examination (OSCE) designed with 2 cases for chronic disease.
- Students were randomized to either the intervention group (H&P 360) or the control group (Traditional H&P).
- Students using the H&P 360 collected significantly more biopsychosocial information compared with students using the traditional H&P, providing empirical support for teaching and assessing biopsychosocial information.²

Table 1. Total average score by site and group (max score: 24)



Current Use in Clinical Settings

- 1. Eastern Virginia Medical School is integrating the H&P 360 into their geriatrics and internal medicine clerkship rotation for 3rd year medical students.
- 2. The University of Chicago is assessing and comparing the feasibility, acceptability, and efficacy of implementing the H&P 360 with preclinical and clinical medical students across inpatient and ambulatory settings.
- 3. The University of Michigan is facilitating the use of the H&P 360, or targeted components of it, in all encounters in safety net and longitudinal outpatient clinics by 15-20 post-clerkship medical students among 4-10 clinical preceptors.
- 4. Florida International University is integrating the H&P 360 into the preclinical and clinical curriculum as part of the Community-Engaged Physician course series, a longitudinal, service-learning program that addresses social determinants of health.

Contact us for more details



Stay informed and learn more about the H&P 360. Scan the above QR code using your phone to provide us with your contact information.

Contact

Rupi Hayer, MPH
Senior Program Manager
American Medical Association
Rupinder.Hayer@ama-assn.org

References

- 1. Williams BC, Ward DA, Johnson EL, Ross PT. Using a six-domain framework to include biopsychosocial information in the standard history. Teach Learn Med 2018. Sept 14:1-12.